IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYAN AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.507 of 2006
Date of decision: February 8,2007

Seema Bansal V. Deepak Bansal

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present: Shri Navneet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral)

The wife is the petitioner before this Court. She has challenged the order dated October 12,2006 passed by the learned trial Judge whereby on an application filed by her under section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, an amount of Rs.5000/- per month has been fixed as maintenance pendente lite and an amount of Rs.2100/- has been fixed as litigation expenses.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any justification to interfere in the present revision petition. The amount of maintenance fixed by the trial Judge cannot be held to be inadequate in any manner.

Dismissed.

February 8,2007 (Viney Mittal)
sks Judge